

UNITED STATES TEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/113,770 07/10/98 TIEDEMANN Ε QCPA577 **EXAMINER** 023696 WM02/0815 Qualcomm Incorporated LIU, S Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER San Diego CA 92121-1714 2634 **DATE MAILED:** 08/15/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1- File COPY

Office Action Summary Examiner			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Caminer Shuwang Liu The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estatesians of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed shar SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and well expire 51X (6) MONTH'S from the realing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and well expire 51X (6) MONTH'S from the realing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the statutory period will apply and well expire 51X (6) MONTH'S from the realing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the statutory period will apply and well expire 51X (6) MONTH'S from the realing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the substance of the period of the period of the period of the communication. Failure to reply within the substance of the reply and the period of the communication. Failure to reply within the substance of the reply and the period of the communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three mentions after the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the substance of the reply to the statutory minimum of thirty (0) days will be considered timely. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is necessary and the period of the communication. The proposed drawing communication is not odd from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply the this office action to the drawing(s) the left in the period of this communication. Failure to reply the this office action. Failure to reply to the failure to reply t	Office Action Summary			TIEDEMANN, EDWARD G.	
Shuwang Liu 2634 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed in the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed in the period for reply septicial above is less than thirth (30) days, a reply within the slatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the sort or extended period for reply will be papilication to become ASANOCNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76(b). Status 1)					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a really which the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a really which the teatulary minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a really shadow the source of the speciation to be communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply the time than the speciation to be communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply the time than the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply the timely filed above than the period for reply will, by statute, cause the application of experiments. Pay the period for reply specified above the period for reply will, by statute, cause the application, and reply received by the Cilical test than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any caused patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 2b) This action is non-final. 2b) This action is non-final. 2c) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 2c) This action is application is non-date the application for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the provision of the merits and the provision of the mer		•		j	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edwards of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above is best than thirly (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (30 U.S.C.) \$1.30. Any reply received by the Office later than thee months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2001 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3.4.6.7.10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) He drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The portoposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	Ondwarig Eta				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply psecified above is best than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above is best than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 11 June 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	Period for Reply				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2001. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2,5,8,9 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2,5,8,9 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	June 2001 .		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2,5,8,9 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	<i>'</i>	·			
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3.4.6.7.10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2.5.8.9 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subjected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	3)□ S	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1.3.4.6.7.10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 2.5.8.9 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 2.5.8.9 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·				
6) Claim(s) 2.5.8.9 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5,8,9 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Application Papers				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
•	,—				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)-(d) of (i).	•				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2634

نتأ سدج

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. The restrictions are withdrawn in response to the argument filed on June 11, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 5, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (Patent Number 5,598,416).
 - (1) regarding claims 5, 9, and 12:

As shown in figures 3 and 8, Yamada et al. discloses an apparatus for transmitting spread spectrum data, comprising:

A modulation means (37 in figure 3 and 88 in figure 8) for receiving data (from 42 of figure 3 and 94 of figure 8) for modulating the received data in accordance with a code channel selection signal (outputted from 40) (column 5, lines 16-19 and column 3, lines 16-20); and

An upconversion means (38 in figure 3 and 90 in figure 8) for receiving the modulated data and for upconverting the modulated data for transmission at a

Art Unit: 2634

ية د تر حو

frequency determined in accordance with a selection signal (column 3, lines 20-23 and column 5, lines 21-24), wherein the code channel selection signal is determined in accordance with a subset of bit of the received data (column 3, lines 16-17 and column 5, lines 14-16).

(2) regarding claims 2, 8, and 13:

Since claims 2, 8, and 13 are one of special configuration of claims 5, 9, and 12, the rejection related to claims 5, 9, and 12 already includes the rejection for claims 2, 8, and 13.

(3) regarding claim 14:

Since claim 14 is one of special configuration of claims 5, 9, and 12, the rejection related to claims 5, 9, and 12 already includes the rejection for claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. in view of Langberg et al. (US 5,852,630).

Art Unit: 2634

Yamada et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for the method written by a software program embodied in a computer-readable medium.

However, Langberg et al. teaches that the method and apparatus for a transceiver warm start activation procedure with precoding can be implemented in software stored in a computer-readable medium. The computer-readable medium is an electronic, magnetic, optical, or other physical device or means that can be contain or store a computer program for use by or in connection with a computer-related system or method (column 3, lines 51-65). One skilled in the art would have clearly recognized that the method of Yamada et al. would have been implemented in software. The implemented software would perform same function of the hardware for less expense, adaptability, and flexibility. Therefore, it would have been obvious to made the modulator of Yamada et al in the software as taught by Langberg et al. in order to reduce cost and improve the adaptability and flexibility of the communication system.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shuwang Liu whose telephone number is (703) 308-9556. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached on (703) 305-4714. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

Art Unit: 2634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Shuwang Liu

August 3, 2001

STEPHEN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600